1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Anna Y. Park, CA SBN 164242 Michael J. Farrell, CA SBN 266553 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 255 East Temple Street, Fourth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-1108 Facsimile: (213) 894-1301 Email: lado.legal@eeoc.gov  Rumduol Vuong, CA SBN 264392 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	
10	2300 Tulare Street, Suite 215	
11	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 487-5135	
12	Facsimile: (559) 487-5053	
13 14 15	Attorneys for Plaintiff U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
19		
20	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,	Case No.: 2:10-CV-02265-RCJ-(GWF)
21	D1 : .: cc	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT—
22	Plaintiff,	TITLE VII  • Sexual Harassment
23	vs.	
24	Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Ltd. d/b/a JW	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
25	Marriott Las Vegas Resort, Spa & Golf,	JUNI IRIAL DEMIAND
26	Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Inc., and Does	
27	1-10 Inclusive,	
28	Defendants.	

-1-

Case No.: 2:10-CV-02265-RCJ-(GWF)

′

# NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an employment discrimination action brought by the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC" or the "Commission") under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex (female) and to provide appropriate relief to Philomena Foy, Doris Allen and other similarly situated individuals who were adversely affected by such practices. As alleged with greater particularity in Paragraph 10 herein, the Commission alleges that Defendant Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Ltd. d/b/a JW Marriott Las Vegas Resort, Spa & Golf, Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Inc., and Does 1-10 (collectively referred to herein as "Defendants"), subjected Claimants Foy and Allen, as well as other similarly situated female employees, to unwelcome verbal and physical sexual conduct which was sufficiently severe and pervasive to adversely affect the terms and conditions of their employment and create a hostile work environment.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII") and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

# **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff EEOC is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) and § 2000e-6.
  - 4. At all relevant times, Defendant Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Inc. has continuously

Case No.: 2:10-CV-02265-RCJ-(GWF)

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).

- 5. At all relevant times Defendant Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Inc. has continuously been doing business in the State of Nevada and the City of Las Vegas, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 6. Since 2005, Defendant Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Ltd. has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g) and (h).
- 7. Since 2005, Defendant Hotspur Resorts Nevada, Ltd. has been a Nevada corporation continuously doing business in the State of Nevada and the City of Las Vegas, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 8. All of the acts and failures to act alleged herein were duly performed by and attributable to all Defendants, including Defendants DOES 1 to 10, each acting as a successor, agent, alter ego, employee, indirect employer, joint employer, integrated enterprise and/or or under the direction and control of the others, except as specifically alleged otherwise. Said acts and failures to act were within the scope of such agency and/or employment, and each Defendant participated in, approved and/or ratified the unlawful acts and omissions by the other Defendants complained of herein. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act by a Defendant or Defendants, such allegations and reference shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly, and/or severally.
- 9. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of each Defendant sued as DOES 1 through 10, inclusively, and therefore Plaintiff Commission sues said defendant(s) by fictitious names. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Complaint to name each DOE defendant individually or collectively as they become known. Plaintiff alleges that each DOE defendant was in some manner responsible for the acts and omissions alleged herein and Plaintiff will amend the complaint to allege such responsibility when the same shall have been ascertained by Plaintiff.

# 

### STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 10. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Claimants Philomena Foy and Doris Allen filed charges with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant Marriott. The Commission issued a Letter of Determination on each charge finding that the Charging Parties and similarly situated individuals were subjected to unlawful employment discrimination based upon their sex, female, in violation of Title VII. Prior to instituting this lawsuit, the EEOC attempted to eliminate the unlawful employment practices herein alleged and to effect voluntary compliance with Title VII through informal methods of conciliation, conference, and persuasion within the meaning of Section 706(b) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e-5(b). All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 11. Since at least August 2003, Defendants have engaged in unlawful employment practices at their Las Vegas, Nevada facility in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) when they subjected Claimants Foy and Allen, and similarly situated female employees, to unwelcome sexual conduct by a male coworker who became a management official (the "Discriminating Official") which was sufficiently severe and pervasive to adversely affect the terms and conditions of their employment and create a hostile, abusive work environment.
  - a) The sexual harassment included, but was not limited to, the Discriminating Official forcibly placing the hands of female employees on his penis; groping their breasts and buttocks; rubbing his crotch into their buttocks; placing his tongue into their ears; and regularly making vulgar, offensive and sexually graphic remarks to them.
  - b) The sexual harassment was unwelcome. Claimants Foy and Allen and other similarly situated female employees were offended by the Discriminating Official's sexual conduct and rebuffed his repeated sexual advances.
  - c) The sexual harassment was sufficiently severe and pervasive to create a

hostile work environment. The sexual conduct occurred frequently throughout the tenures of Claimants Foy and Allen. The conduct was also severe as it included highly offensive physical touching of a sexual nature and sexually graphic remarks.

- d) Defendants are liable for the hostile work environment created by the Discriminating Official. Claimants Foy and Allen took reasonable steps to stop the harassment when they complained to several management officials of the Defendants. However, Defendants failed to take reasonable steps to prevent and correct the harassment, but instead permitted the harassment to continue and worsen.
- 12. The effect of the practices complained of in Paragraph 10 above has been to deprive Philomena Foy, Doris Allen and similarly situated aggrieved individuals of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees, because of their sex, female.
- 13. The unlawful employment practices complained of in Paragraph 10 above were intentional and caused Philomena Foy, Doris Allen and similarly situated individuals to suffer emotional distress.
- 14. The unlawful employment practices complained of in Paragraph 10 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Philomena Foy, Doris Allen and similarly situated individuals.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in sexual harassment, and any other employment practice which discriminate on the basis of sex.

Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs

which provide equal employment opportunities for women, and which eradicate the effects of

1

2

В.

**JURY TRIAL DEMAND** 1 2 The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint. 3 4 5 6 Dated: April 25, 2011 Respectfully Submitted 7 P. DAVID LOPEZ General Counsel 8 9 JAMES LEE, Deputy General Counsel 10 GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS, 11 Associate General Counsel 12 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT 13 OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 14 131 M Street, NE Washington, DC 20507 15 16 MICHAEL FARRELL 17 Supervisory Trial Attorney U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT 18 OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28